

INSTRUCTIONS



ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN
COGNITION AND ITS DISORDERS

Excellence in Research Student Award 2017 - Poster

Aim: Create a single page poster that describes your PhD or postgraduate project.

Size: No bigger than A0 (84 x 120 cm). Portrait orientation is preferable and we encourage the use of a [CCD poster template](#).

Fonts: Choose a standard font (e.g., Arial or Times New Roman).

Font size: This depends on the size of the computer template (A4 can be printed to A0). If possible please use a [CCD poster template](#) (and the minimum size font should be 12).

Title: Describe the research and findings in less than 20 words.

Authors: Include the names of each author. Choose between full or initials for first and middle names. Include surname in full.

Affiliations: Include reference to the institution each author is affiliated with (e.g., CCD, Department/Institute, and Institution).

Content: Less than 1000 words (not including title/authors/affiliations and figure/table text). Includes titles, body-text, references, and acknowledgements (if included).

Provide Word document with the poster text to confirm word count.

Tips:

- Use point form. The place for sentences and paragraphs is in your thesis or in a paper. Use the poster to highlight the important bits so the viewer can quickly and easily access the most important elements.
- Use images as much as possible. The poster should be as visual as possible. Aim to keep reading to a minimum.
- You don't necessarily need to communicate every detail of your experiment within a poster. The message should be clear and concise. Often this is best done by selecting one or two of the most important findings of the project rather than everything.
- You probably don't have room for limitations (unless directly related to the conclusion/s)
- Poster template. You can adjust the increase/decrease the number of boxes/components on the poster to best suit your project.

Poster Criteria:

- Communication:
 - The material was clearly written in lay English
 - There was good use of high quality and legible images directly relevant to the content
- Introduction/Background/Aims (theoretical/practical rationale and hypotheses)
 - Sufficient detail was included to understand the main concepts
 - It was clear why the project was done
- Method
 - It was clear how the project was conducted (i.e., what was involved – computers, questionnaires, tests/measures etc.)
 - It was clear and sufficiently detailed who participated in the experiment (if applicable, not if computer simulations were run)
 - It was clear what was represented in the figures/graphs (if applicable)
- Results
 - The data were presented clearly and efficiently (could just be a single graph)
 - There was adequate detail to evaluate the reliability and magnitude of the results (e.g., statistics)
- Discussion/Implications/Conclusions
 - There was an obvious (clearly visible) and succinct take-home message